PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003P12192WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.	International filing date (day/month	(year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2004/011382	11.10.2004	13.10.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC	
F02C9/34		
Applicant		
SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLS	SCHAFT	
This report is the international prelin under Article 35 and transmitted to th		ed by this International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	0	s, including this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by A		,
	to the International Bureau) a total o	f 3 sheets, as follows:
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		nave been amended and are the basis for this report and/or
1 1 1 2		ity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
the disclosure in the		thority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond s indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
Box.		
b. (sent to the International)	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))
-1-4 14 - 4- 1		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables
Section 802 of the Administ		the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:	
Box No. I Basis of the	report	
Box No. II Priority		
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unit	y of invention	
	atement under Article 35(2) with reg d explanations supporting such staten	ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nent
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited	
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international application	
Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the international applicat	ion
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report		letion of this report
		E
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized of	ficer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No).

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/011382

Box	No. I	[Basis of the report		
1.			I to the language, this report is based on the internation ander this item.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
			report is based on translations from the original langua, a is the language of a translation furnished for the purp		,
		\sqcup	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		\sqcup	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4))	
		Ш	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	or 55.3)	
2.	rece		I to the elements of the international application, this iffice in response to an invitation under Article 14 are: :		
		the in	ternational application as originally filed/furnished		
	\bowtie	the de	escription:		
		pages	1-9		as originally filed/furnished
		pages		received by this Authority on	
		pages	*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the cl	aims:		
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		as amended (togethe	
		nos.*	1-7	received by this Authority on	18.07.2005 with letter of 14.07.2005
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the dr	rawings:		
		sheets	s <u>1/1</u>		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets			
		sheets	s*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		\sqsubseteq	the description, pages		
			the claims, nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.			report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	ет 4 ар	plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	erseded."	

Box No. V

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011382

	citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement		
	Novelty	y (N) Claims 1-3	YES
		Claims 4-7	NO
	Inventi	ve step (IS) Claims	YES
		Claims 1-7	NO
	Industri	ial applicability (IA) $_{ m Claims}$ 1 $-$ 7	YES
		Claims	
2.	Citations a	and explanations (Rule 70.7)	
	1	Reference is made to the following documents:	
		D1: US-A1-2001023578	
		D2: EP-A-1331448	
		D3: EP-A-0501313	
		D4: WO-A-03062618	
		D5: US-A-6082092	
		D6: DE-A-19921981	
	2	Irrespective of the lack of clarity mentioned in	
		Box VIII, the subject matter of claim 1 does not	
		involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) and	
		therefore the requirements of PCT Article 33(1)	
		are not satisfied.	
	2.1	Document D1 is considered the prior art closest to	
		the subject matter of claim 1 and discloses (the	
		references between parentheses refer to that	

document) a method for compensating variations in the fuel composition in a gas turbine system with

two burner stages (3, 5) that are operated in parallel, the fuel supply to two burner stages being regulated in response to variations in the

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

fuel composition.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs therefrom in that when the fuel supply is regulated, the fuel split between the burner stages is maintained at a target value.

Thus, essentially, the operating characteristics of the two burners are kept constant, thereby suppressing combustion variations and avoiding increased emissions when compensating variations in the fuel composition.

It is, however, known per se to regulate the fuel split between two different burners so that a specific distribution is maintained. This principle is applied, for example, in D2 (see paragraphs 0031 and 0037). It therefore cannot be considered inventive to include a measure that is common in the art in a method for compensating variations in fuel composition as per D1. Consequently, claim 1 does not satisfy the criterion in PCT Article 33(3) and is therefore not allowable (PCT Article 33(1)).

- 3 Irrespective of the lack of clarity mentioned in Box VIII, the subject matter of claim 4 lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) and therefore the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) are not satisfied.
- 3.1 In relation thereto, D1 discloses a regulating

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

device for regulating the fuel supply in a gas turbine system with two burner stages that are operated in parallel, a fuel regulating valve (19a, 19b) for each burner stage and a regulator (27), which comprises the regulator characteristic values that are associated with the fuel valves. The regulator or the control unit (27) brings together the functions of the analyser, the unit for calculating the fuel composition (i.e. the calorific value in analogy to the Wobbe Index) and the updating unit, which are used to update the regulator characteristic values of the regulating valves that are associated with the two different burner stages using the determined calorific value (D1, §§0009, 0013, 0019, 0020, 0026 and 0027-0038, claim 1).

A similar argument can also be raised based on documents ${\tt D3}$ and ${\tt D4}$.

The subject matter of independent claim 4 thus lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).

The subject matter of one or more of the dependent claims is also disclosed by documents D1-D6. Main stages and pilot stages are disclosed in D1-D3, the Wobbe Index is disclosed in D4 and D5 and, as has already been mentioned, is regarded as a characteristic value analogous to the determining of the calorific value mentioned in D1. The Wobbe Index is actually nothing more than the calorific value of a fuel divided by the root of the product

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011382

D N 77	
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	of the absolute temperature multiplied by the
	specific density of the fuel (see D5). The
	concept specified in claim 7 of a specific
	arrangement of the components can be taken from D1
	or D6 (column 4, line 50 ff.). Dependent claims
	2, 3 and $5-7$ are therefore considered to lack
	novelty or inventive step.
5	The invention is industrially applicable in the
	field of gas turbines (PCT Article 33(4)).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011382

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
The following de	efects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:
	Contrary to PCT Rule $5.1(a)(ii)$, the description
	does not cite documents D1-D4 or indicate the
	relevant prior art disclosed therein.

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1 and 4 do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because the subject matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined in the characterising parts of the claims. Both claims attempt to define the subject matter by the result to be achieved (claim 1: "that when the fuel supply is regulated, the fuel split between the burner stages is maintained at a target value" and claim 4: "the regulator being designed such that the fuel split between the burner stages is maintained at a target value."). Thus in both cases only the problem to be solved is indicated.

As regards claim 1, no detailed method steps are indicated which characterise the method as such. Such detailed steps are provided on page 8, lines 22-26 of the description and should have been included in the method claim, since they are considered to be essential to the method and therefore to the definition of the invention. Since independent claim 1 does not contain those method steps, it does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b) that each independent claim must include all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

Although claim 4 defines the other technical features of the regulating device that are needed

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011382

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application to achieve the result, for the purposes of clarity and in order to provide clear delimitation over the searched prior art a reference to claim 1 should have been added, for example "the regulator being suitable for implementing a method as per claim 1", since it does not appear possible to define the regulator in terms of its technical features.